

Z_4 -Linear Perfect Codes^{*}

D. S. Krotov

Abstract

For every $n = 2^k \geq 16$ there exist exactly $\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor$ mutually nonequivalent Z_4 -linear extended perfect codes with distance 4. All these codes have different ranks.

Certain of known nonlinear binary codes such as Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, Delsarte-Goethals codes can be represented, using some mapping $Z_4 \rightarrow Z_2^2$ (in this paper, following [5], we use the mapping $0 \rightarrow 00, 1 \rightarrow 01, 2 \rightarrow 11, 3 \rightarrow 10$) as linear codes over the alphabet $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with modulo 4 operations (see [14, 10, 11, 12, 5]). Codes represented in such a manner are called Z_4 -linear. In [5] it is shown that the extended Golay code and the extended Hamming $(n, 2^{n-\log_2 n-1}, 4)$ -codes (of length n and cardinality $2^{n-\log_2 n-1}$, with distance 4) for every $n > 16$ are not Z_4 -linear. Also, in [5] for every $n = 2^k$ a Z_4 -linear $(2, 2^{n-\log_2 n-1}, 4)$ -code is described (the codes C^{0,r_2} , in the notations of §2, are presented as cyclic codes in [5]). The goal of this work is a complete description of Z_4 -linear perfect and extended perfect codes.

It is known [23, 22] that there are no nontrivial perfect binary codes except the Golay $(23, 2^{12}, 7)$ -code and the $(2^k - 1, 2^{2^k - k - 1}, 3)$ -codes. The perfect $(23, 2^{12}, 7)$ -code is unique up to equivalence. The linear (Hamming) $(2^k - 1, 2^{2^k - k - 1}, 3)$ -code is also unique for every k , but for $n = 2^k - 1 \geq 15$ there exist more than $2^{2^{(n+1)/2-k}}$ (for the last lower bound, see [6]) nonlinear codes with the same parameters (see, e.g., [19, 3] for a survey of some constructions). The class of all $(2^k - 1, 2^{2^k - k - 1}, 3)$ -codes is not described yet.

In this paper we show that not great, but increasing as $k \rightarrow \infty$, number of extended perfect $(2^k, 2^{2^k - k - 1}, 4)$ -codes can be represented as linear codes over the ring Z_4 . In §2, in terms of check matrices, we define $\lfloor (\log_2 n + 1)/2 \rfloor$ Z_4 -linear extended perfect $(n, 2^n/2n, 4)$ -codes. In §3 we show that the codes constructed are

^{*}Original Russian text was published in Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper., Ser. 1, 7(4):78-90, 2000.

pairwise nonequivalent. In §4 we prove the nonexistence of Z_4 -linear $(n, 2^n/2n, 4)$ -codes that are nonequivalent to the codes constructed. In §5 we propose an inductive way to construct the class of Z_4 -linear extended perfect codes.

So, all the Z_4 -linear $(n, 2^n/2n, 4)$ -codes are described up to equivalence. By the definition, codes of odd length cannot be Z_4 -linear. The length-24 Golay code, as noted above, is also non- Z_4 -linear [5]. Obviously, all trivial perfect and extended perfect binary codes (the code from one all-zero word, the repetition $(n, 2, n)$ -code, the all-parity-check $(n, 2^{n-1}, 2)$ -code, the complete $(n, 2^n, 1)$ -code) are Z_4 -linear provided the length is even. So, the problem of describing all Z_4 -linear perfect and extended perfect codes has got an exhaustive decision.

0.1 Translator's remarks

In this section we briefly survey results closely related with the subject of this manuscript but not cited in the original Russian-language paper.

As noted above, (non-extended) perfect distance 3 code cannot be Z_4 -linear. Nevertheless, they can have a mixed additive Z_2Z_4 structure [2[†]] (using an isometric mapping $Z_2^{k_1}Z_4^{k_2} \rightarrow Z_2^{k_1+2k_2}$, one can construct binary codes from group codes in $Z_2^{k_1}Z_4^{k_2}$). In [2[†]], all such perfect codes of length $n = 2^k - 1$ are characterized; it turns out that there are exactly $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1$ such codes, up to equivalence.

The ranks and dimensions of kernels of the additive perfect and extended perfect binary codes (including the class considered in this paper) are calculated in [15[†]] and [1[†]].

In [8[†]], the codes whose Z_4 -preimage is dual to the preimage of some Z_4 -linear extended perfect code are considered (by MacWilliams-type theorems, such a code has the parameters of the first order Reed-Muller code $RM(1, k)$, or a Hadamard code); the number of such codes of length 2^k is $\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor$ (in the notation of this paper, the codes $\phi(\mathcal{C}^{0,r_2^*})$ and $\phi(\mathcal{C}^{1,r_2-2^*})$ are equivalent). All the additive codes with parameters of $RM(1, k)$, including the Z_4 -linear case, and their ranks and kernels are characterized in [16[†]]. The series of Z_4 -linear extended perfect and Hadamard codes can be generalized to the series of codes with the parameters of Reed-Muller codes $RM(o, k)$ for all orders o , $0 \leq o \leq k$, see [21[†], 18[†]] (recall that extended perfect and Hadamard codes of length 2^k have the parameters of $RM(k-2, k)$ and $RM(1, k)$, respectively).

The construction of co- Z_{2^k} -linear extended perfect codes and Z_{2^k} -linear Hadamard codes (where the meaning of k is not the same as above) presented in [9[†]] generalizes the construction of this paper and [8[†]] to the $Z_8, Z_{16}, Z_{32}, \dots$ cases.

Another generalization of Z_4 -linear and additive codes is transitive codes, when

the stabilizer of the code in the isometry group of the space acts transitively on the codewords (for each two codewords \bar{x}, \bar{y} there is an element π of the stabilizer such that $\pi(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$). Wide classes of transitive perfect binary codes are constructed in [20[†], 17[†]]. As shown in [17[†]], the number of such codes grows at least exponentially with respect to the square root of the length.

The recurrent construction in §5 originates from the Mollard construction [13[†]].

1 Main concepts and notations

Denote the set of all binary words of length n by E^n . The *Hamming distance* $d(x, y)$ between two words $x, y \in E^n$ is the number of positions in which x and y differ. A set $C \subset E^n$ is called a binary (n, K, d) -code if $|C| = K$ and the Hamming distance between any two different words in C is not less than d . A code C is called *linear* if it is closed under the modulo 2 addition.

A code C with parameters $(n, K, 2\rho + 1)$ is called *perfect* if the distance from any word of E^n to C does not exceed ρ . An $(n, K, 2\rho + 2)$ -code is called *extended perfect* if removing the last symbol from every code word results in a perfect $(n - 1, K, 2\rho + 1)$ -code. An $(n, K, 4)$ -code is extended perfect if and only if $K = 2^n/2n$.

By Z_4^n we denote the set of length- n words over the alphabet $Z_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with the modulo 4 addition and multiplication by a constant. We will say that a word $c \in Z_4^n$ has the *mixture* $1^{n_1}2^{n_2}3^{n_3}$ if c contains n_1 ones, n_2 twos, n_3 threes and $n - n_1 - n_2 - n_3$ zeros placed in an arbitrary order. An additive subgroup of Z_4^n will be called a *quaternary code*. Two quaternary codes are called *equivalent* if one can be obtained from the other by a coordinate permutation and/or changing the sign in some coordinates. At that, if we use only a coordinate permutation, then the codes are *permutably equivalent*.

The *Lee weight* $wt_L(a)$ of a word a from Z_4^n is the usual (over Z) sum of Lee weights of all coordinates of a , where $wt_L(0) = 0$, $wt_L(1) = wt_L(3) = 1$, and $wt_L(2) = 2$. This weight function defines the *Lee metric* $d_L(a, b) = wt_L(b - a)$ on Z_4^n . A quaternary code $\mathcal{C} \subset Z_4^n$ is called a *quaternary distance- d code of length n* or an $(n, |\mathcal{C}|, d)_4$ -code if $d_L(a, b) \geq d$ for any different $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$, which is equivalent to $wt_L(a) \geq d$ for any nonzero $a \in \mathcal{C}$.

Any quaternary code \mathcal{C} can be defined by a *generating matrix* of form

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ 2G_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where G_1 is a Z_4 -matrix of size $k_1 \times n$, G_2 is a Z_2 -matrix of size $k_2 \times n$, $|\mathcal{C}| = 2^{2k_1+k_2}$,

and every word c from \mathcal{C} can be represented as

$$c = (v_1, v_2) \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ 2G_2 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{4}, \quad v_1 \in Z_4^{k_1}, \quad v_2 \in Z_2^{k_2}.$$

The code \mathcal{C} defined by the generating matrix (1) is an elementary Abelian group of type $4^{k_1}2^{k_2}$. We will indicate this as follows: $|\mathcal{C}| = 4^{k_1}2^{k_2}$.

Words $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $x' = (x'_0, \dots, x'_{n-1})$ from Z_4^n (from E^n) are said to be *dual*, i.e. $x \perp x'$, if $x_0x'_0 + \dots + x_{n-1}x'_{n-1} = 0 \pmod{4}$ (respectively, $\pmod{2}$). The duality relation is naturally extended to the duality of a word and a set of words and to the duality of two sets of words from Z_4^n (from E^n).

A quaternary code \mathcal{C} of type $4^{k_1}2^{k_2}$ can be described by a *check matrix*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ 2A_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

by the relation

$$Ac^T = 0 \text{ for any } c \in \mathcal{C},$$

where A_1 is a Z_4 -matrix of size $(n - k_1 - k_2) \times n$ and A_2 is a Z_2 -matrix of size $k_2 \times n$. The matrix A is generating for the quaternary code \mathcal{C}^* that is *dual* to \mathcal{C} ; \mathcal{C}^* can be alternatively defined as the set of words that are dual to \mathcal{C} .

Let us define two maps $\beta(c)$ and $\gamma(c)$ from Z_4 to $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c & \beta(c) & \gamma(c) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \end{array},$$

and let them be extended to maps from Z_4^n to Z_2^{2n} by coordinates. The *Gray map* $\phi: Z_4^n \rightarrow E^{2n}$ is defined by

$$\phi(c) = (\beta(c), \gamma(c)), \quad c \in Z_4^n$$

(so, i th coordinate of c corresponds to i th and $(i+n)$ th binary coordinates of $\phi(c)$). Applying $\phi(\cdot)$ to every code word, to arbitrary quaternary code we can assign a binary code of twice length and the same cardinality. Following [5], we will denote quaternary codes by calligraphic letters, and the corresponding binary codes, by usual latin letters, e.g., $C = \phi(\mathcal{C})$, $B = \phi(\mathcal{B})$, $C^{2,3} = \phi(\mathcal{C}^{2,3})$. The binary code C obtained by applying the Gray map to all the words of some quaternary code \mathcal{C} , and

all the codes that can be obtained from C by a coordinate permutation are called Z_4 -linear.

Two binary codes C and C' of length n are called *equivalent* if there exist a word y from E^n and a coordinate permutation π such that $C = \pi(C' \oplus y)$. If quaternary codes \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are equivalent, then the corresponding binary codes C and C' are also equivalent (changing the sign in the i th coordinate of length- n code \mathcal{C} corresponds to the transposition $(i, i + n)$ of the coordinates of C).

It follows directly from the definitions of the Hamming $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ and Lee $d_L(\cdot, \cdot)$ metrics and the Gray map $\phi(\cdot)$ that

$$d_L(a, b) = d(\phi(a), \phi(b)), \quad a, b \in Z_4^n.$$

So, we have the following:

Lemma 1 [5]. *The map ϕ is an isometry between the spaces Z_4^n with the Lee metric and E^{2n} with the Hamming metric.*

An $(n, 4^n/4n, 4)_4$ -code will be called a *perfect* quaternary code. As follows from Lemma 1, a quaternary code \mathcal{C} is perfect if and only if C is an extended perfect binary code with distance 4.

2 A construction of Z_4 -linear extended perfect codes

Let r_1 and r_2 be nonnegative integers. Let us compose the matrix A^{r_1, r_2} from all different columns of type z^T , $z \in \{1\} \times \{0, 1, 2, 3\}^{r_1} \times \{0, 2\}^{r_2}$ ordered lexicographically. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{0,0} &= [1], & A^{0,1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 02 \end{bmatrix}, \\ A^{1,0} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1111 \\ 0123 \end{bmatrix}, & A^{0,2} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1111 \\ 0022 \\ 0202 \end{bmatrix}, \\ A^{1,1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 11\ 11\ 11\ 11 \\ 00\ 11\ 22\ 33 \\ 02\ 02\ 02\ 02 \end{bmatrix}, & A^{0,3} &= \begin{bmatrix} 11\ 11\ 11\ 11 \\ 00\ 00\ 22\ 22 \\ 00\ 22\ 00\ 22 \\ 02\ 02\ 02\ 02 \end{bmatrix}, \\ A^{2,0} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1111\ 1111\ 1111\ 1111 \\ 0000\ 1111\ 2222\ 3333 \\ 0123\ 0123\ 0123\ 0123 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *The quaternary code*

$$\mathcal{C}^{r_1, r_2} = \{c \in Z_4^{2^{2r_1+r_2}} : A^{r_1, r_2} c^T = 0\}$$

is perfect.

Proof. The length n of \mathcal{C}^{r_1, r_2} equals $4^{r_1} 2^{r_2}$, i.e., the number of elements in $Z_4^{r_1} \times Z_2^{r_2}$. The code \mathcal{C}^{r_1, r_2^*} with the generating matrix A^{r_1, r_2} has type $4^{r_1+1} 2^{r_2}$. Therefore, $|\mathcal{C}^{r_1, r_2}| = 4^n / |\mathcal{C}^{r_1, r_2^*}| = 4^{n-r_1-r_2-1} 2^{r_2} = 4^n / 4n$.

Let us show that the weight of any nonzero word from \mathcal{C}^{r_1, r_2} is not less than 4. The words with the mixtures 1, 3, 2, 1^2 , 3^2 , 12, 23, 1^3 , $1^2 3$, 13^2 , 3^3 contradict to the first row of the matrix A^{r_1, r_2} . A word of mixture 13 cannot belong to \mathcal{C}^{r_1, r_2} because this would mean that the difference between some two columns of A^{r_1, r_2} equals zero, which means coincidence of these columns and contradicts to the definition of A^{r_1, r_2} . Theorem 1 is proved.

3 Pairwise nonequivalence of the constructed codes

Two Z_4 -matrices A and A' with the same number of columns will be called *equivalent* if every row of A is a linear combination of rows of A' and vice versa, every row of A' is a linear combination of rows of A .

Below, we define the functions Even, Odd, even, and odd, which will be used to prove statements by induction.

Let n be even. Assume that a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} are the columns of a matrix $A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$; then by Even(A) and Odd(A) we will denote the matrices $(a_0, a_2, \dots, a_{n-2})$ and $(a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1})$, which are composed from the even and the odd columns of A (i.e., the columns a_i with odd/even indexes i), respectively. Similarly define Even(x) and Odd(x) for a word $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ from Z_4^n or E^n .

Proposition 1.

- a) For any $r_1 \geq 0$ and $r_2 > 0$ the matrices Even(A^{r_1, r_2}) and Odd(A^{r_1, r_2}) are equivalent to the matrix A^{r_1, r_2-1} .
- b) For any $r_1 > 0$ the matrices Even($A^{r_1, 0}$) and Odd($A^{r_1, 0}$) are equivalent to $A^{r_1-1, 1}$.

Proof. a) By the definition, the matrix A^{r_1, r_2-1} is obtained from Even(A^{r_1, r_2}) or Odd(A^{r_1, r_2}) by removing the last row. The last row of Even(A^{r_1, r_2}) consists of zeros; the last row of Odd(A^{r_1, r_2}) consists of twos and, thus, is equal to the first row of A^{r_1, r_2-1} multiplied by 2.

b) The matrix $A^{r_1-1,1}$ coincides with $\text{Even}(A^{r_1,0})$ and can be obtained from $\text{Odd}(A^{r_1,0})$ by subtracting the first row from the last, which consists of 1s and 3s. Proposition 1 is proved.

For $\mathcal{C} \subset Z_4^n$ we denote

$$\text{even}(\mathcal{C}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(c_0, c_2, \dots, c_{n-2}) \in Z_4^{n/2} \mid (c_0, 0, c_2, 0, \dots, c_{n-2}, 0) \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

$$\text{odd}(\mathcal{C}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(c_1, c_3, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in Z_4^{n/2} \mid (0, c_1, 0, c_3, \dots, 0, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Similarly we define $\text{even}(C)$ and $\text{odd}(C)$ for $C \subset E^n$.

The following three propositions are straightforward from the definitions.

Proposition 2. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset Z_4^n$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset Z_4^{n/2}$ be quaternary codes. Then*

- a) $\mathcal{B} = \text{even}(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if $B = \text{even}(C)$,
- b) $\mathcal{B} = \text{odd}(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if $B = \text{odd}(C)$.

Proposition 3. *Let $C \subset E^n$, $y \in E^n$, and $y \perp C$. Then $\text{Even}(y) \perp \text{even}(C)$ and $\text{Odd}(y) \perp \text{odd}(C)$.*

Proposition 4. *Let A be a check matrix of a quaternary code \mathcal{C} . Then $\text{Even}(A)$ is a check matrix of the code $\text{even}(\mathcal{C})$; $\text{Odd}(A)$ is a check matrix of the code $\text{odd}(\mathcal{C})$.*

From Propositions 1, 4, and 2, we conclude the following:

Corollary 1.

- a) $\text{even}(C^{r_1, r_2}) = \text{odd}(C^{r_1, r_2}) = C^{r_1, r_2-1}$ for any $r_1 \geq 0$ and $r_2 > 0$.
- b) $\text{even}(C^{r_1, 0}) = \text{odd}(C^{r_1, 0}) = C^{r_1-1, 1}$ for any $r_1 > 0$.

The maximum number of linearly independent vectors in a binary code C as called the rank of C and denoted by $\text{rank}(C)$. The rank of a code C equals to the length of C minus the maximum number of linearly independent vectors that are dual to C . If two codes containing the all-zero word have different ranks, then they are nonequivalent.

We call a binary word $y = (y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$ of even length n *repetitive* if for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n/2 - 1\}$ it holds $y_i = y_{i+n/2}$. In other words, y is repetitive if and only if $\phi^{-1}(y) \in \{0, 2\}^{n/2}$. Obviously, the sum of repetitive words is repetitive.

Proposition 5. *If $x, x' \in \{0, 2\}^n \subset Z_4^n$, then $\phi(x + x') = \phi(x) \oplus \phi(x')$.*

Proof. Since the addition of words from Z_4^n and the addition of words from E^{2n} are defined coordinatewise, it is enough only to check that $\phi(x_0 + x'_0) = \phi(x_0) \oplus \phi(x'_0)$ for $x_0, x'_0 \in \{0, 2\}$, which is straightforward. Proposition 5 is proved.

Proposition 6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a quaternary code of length n ; and let $x \in \{0, 2\}^n$. Then $x \perp \mathcal{C}$ and $\phi(x) \perp C$ are equivalent.*

Proof. We have to show that $x \perp c$ is equivalent to $\phi(x) \perp \phi(c)$ for an arbitrary $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Let k be the number of 2s in x ; and let i_1, \dots, i_k be the numbers of positions in which x contains 2. Then $x \perp c$ means that $\sum_{j=1}^k 2c_{i_j} = 0 \pmod{4}$ and is equivalent to the evenness of the sum of all c_{i_j} , $j = 1, \dots, k$, which is equivalent to the evenness of the sum of all $\beta(c_{i_j})$ and $\gamma(c_{i_j})$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, which, in its turn, is equivalent to the relations $\sum_{j=1}^k (\phi(c)_{i_j} \oplus \phi(c)_{i_j+n}) = 0 \pmod{2}$, i.e., $\phi(x) \perp \phi(c)$. Proposition 6 is proved.

Proposition 7. *For any integer $r_1 \geq 0$, $r_2 \geq 0$ the dimension of the subspace of repetitive words from $E^{2^{2r_1+r_2+1}}$ that are dual to C^{r_1, r_2} equals $r_1 + r_2 + 1$.*

Proof. Let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r_1+r_2}$ be, respectively, the first, the second, \dots , the $(r_1 + r_2 + 1)$ th rows of the matrix A^{r_1, r_2} . Then the words $2a_0, 2a_1, \dots, 2a_{r_1}, a_{r_1+1}, \dots, a_{r_1+r_2}$ consist of 0s and 2s; so, by Proposition 6, the repetitive linearly independent words

$$\phi(2a_0), \phi(2a_1), \dots, \phi(2a_{r_1}), \phi(a_{r_1+1}), \dots, \phi(a_{r_1+r_2}) \quad (2)$$

are dual to C^{r_1, r_2} .

On the other hand, if y is a repetitive word that is dual to C^{r_1, r_2} , then the word $\phi^{-1}(y) \in \{0, 2\}^{2^{2r_1+r_2+1}}$ is dual to C^{r_1, r_2} . Consequently, $\phi^{-1}(y)$ is a linear combination of rows of A^{r_1, r_2} . Since $2\phi^{-1}(y)$ is the all-zero word, we see that the coefficients at the $r_1 + 1$ rows in this linear combination are even. So, $\phi^{-1}(y)$ is a linear combination of the words $2a_0, 2a_1, \dots, 2a_{r_1}, a_{r_1+1}, \dots, a_{r_1+r_2}$, and, by Proposition 5, the word y is a linear combination of the words (2). Proposition 7 is proved.

Corollary 2. *For any integer $r_1 \geq 0$, $r_2 \geq 0$ it holds*

$$\text{rank}(C^{r_1, r_2}) \leq n - r_1 - r_2 - 1,$$

where $n = 2^{2r_1+r_2+1}$ is the length of C^{r_1, r_2} .

Proposition 8. *For any integer $r_2 \geq 4$ it holds*

$$\text{rank}(C^{0, r_2}) = 2^{r_2+1} - r_2 - 1 = n - \log_2 n,$$

where $n = 2^{r_2+1}$ is the length of C^{0, r_2} .

Proof. It is shown in [5] that the linear extended perfect Hamming codes of length more than 16 are not Z_4 -linear. Consequently, for $r_2 \geq 4$ the code C^{0, r_2} is nonlinear, and its rank is greater than $n - \log_2 n - 1$ (the dimension of the Hamming

code). But, by Corollary 2, the rank of C^{0,r_2} does not exceed $n - \log_2 n$. Proposition 8 is proved.

Remark 1. Proposition 8 can be proved in the same manner as Corollary 4 below, by induction, after establishing the nonlinearity of $C^{0,4}$. This way do not use the “non- Z_4 -linearity” of the Hamming codes, and this “non- Z_4 -linearity” can be independently derived as a corollary of the nonlinearity of the Z_4 -linear codes C^{r_1,r_2} .

Proposition 9. *The rank of $C^{1,1}$ is 13.*

Proof. By Corollary 2, $\text{rank}(C^{1,1}) \leq 13$. Let us list 13 linearly independent vectors from $C^{1,1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= \phi(2200\ 0000) = 1100\ 0000\ 1100\ 0000 \\
b_2 &= \phi(0000\ 2200) = 0000\ 1100\ 0000\ 1100 \\
b_3 &= \phi(2000\ 2000) = 1000\ 1000\ 1000\ 1000 \\
b_4 &= \phi(1100\ 1100) = 0000\ 0000\ 1100\ 1100 \\
b_5 &= \phi(0022\ 0000) = 0011\ 0000\ 0011\ 0000 \\
b_6 &= \phi(0000\ 0022) = 0000\ 0011\ 0000\ 0011 \\
b_7 &= \phi(0020\ 0020) = 0010\ 0010\ 0010\ 0010 \\
b_8 &= \phi(0011\ 0011) = 0000\ 0000\ 0011\ 0011 \\
b_9 &= \phi(0000\ 1313) = 0000\ 0101\ 0000\ 1010 \\
b_{10} &= \phi(0101\ 0303) = 0000\ 0101\ 0101\ 0000 \\
b_{11} &= \phi(0101\ 3030) = 0000\ 1010\ 0101\ 0000 \\
b_{12} &= \phi(1000\ 0111) = 0000\ 0000\ 1000\ 0111 \\
b_{13} &= \phi(0100\ 0102) = 0000\ 0001\ 0100\ 0101
\end{aligned}$$

The collection b_1, \dots, b_{11} is a basis of the Hamming code with the check matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1111 & 1111 & 1111 & 1111 \\ 0000 & 0000 & 1111 & 1111 \\ 0000 & 1111 & 0000 & 1111 \\ 0011 & 0011 & 0011 & 0011 \\ 0101 & 0101 & 0101 & 0101 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The vector b_{12} is dual to all rows of B except the third one; consequently, b_{12} is linearly independent of b_1, \dots, b_{11} . The vector b_{13} is not dual to the second row of B , consequently, b_{13} is linearly independent of b_1, \dots, b_{12} . Proposition 9 is proved.

Corollary 3. *All the words of E^{16} that are dual to $C^{1,1}$ are repetitive.*

Proof. Otherwise, there exist at least four linearly independent words that are dual to $C^{1,1}$: three repetitive (Proposition 7) and one non repetitive. This means that $\text{rank}(C^{1,1}) \leq 16 - 4 = 12$, which contradicts to Proposition 9. Corollary 3 is proved.

Proposition 10. *Let $r_1 \geq 1$ and $r_2 \geq 0$ be integers satisfying $2r_1 + r_2 \geq 3$. Then all the words of $E^{2^{2r_1+r_2+1}}$ that are dual to C^{r_1,r_2} are repetitive.*

Proof. We will argue by induction on $r = 2r_1 + r_2$.

By Corollary 3, the statement holds for $r = 3$.

Assume that it holds for $r = k - 1 \geq 3$. Let $2r_1 + r_2 = k$ and $y \perp C^{r_1,r_2}$. Then, by Proposition 3, we have $\text{Even}(y) \perp \text{even}(C^{r_1,r_2})$ and $\text{Odd}(y) \perp \text{odd}(C^{r_1,r_2})$. By Corollary 1, $\text{odd}(C^{r_1,r_2}) = \text{even}(C^{r_1,r_2}) = C^{r_1,r_2-1}$ for $r_2 > 0$ and $\text{odd}(C^{r_1,r_2}) = \text{even}(C^{r_1,r_2}) = C^{r_1-1,r_2+1}$ for $r_2 = 0$; thus, by the inductive assumption, the words $\text{Even}(y)$ and $\text{Odd}(y)$ are repetitive, and y is also repetitive by the Definition. Proposition 10 is proved.

Propositions 10 and 7 yield the following:

Corollary 4. *Let $r_1 \geq 1$, $r_2 \geq 0$ be integers satisfying $2r_1 + r_2 \geq 3$. Then*

$$\text{rank}(C^{r_1,r_2}) = 2^{2r_1+r_2} - r_1 - r_2 - 1.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $2r_1 + r_2 = 2r'_1 + r'_2 \geq 3$; then the codes C^{r_1,r_2} and $C^{r'_1,r'_2}$ are equivalent if and only if $r_1 = r'_1$.*

Proof. In the case $r = 2r_1 + r_2 = 2r'_1 + r'_2 \geq 4$, by Proposition 8 and Corollary 4, the codes C^{r_1,r_2} and $C^{r'_1,r'_2}$ have the ranks $2^r - r + r_1 - 1$ and $2^r - r + r'_1 - 1$, respectively. If $r_1 \neq r'_1$, then the ranks are different, and the codes are nonequivalent.

In the case $r = 3$ we have to show that $C^{0,3}$ and $C^{1,1}$ are nonequivalent. This is true because $\text{rank}(C^{0,3}) \leq 12$ and $\text{rank}(C^{1,1}) = 13$ (See Corollary 2 and Proposition 9). Theorem 2 is proved.

Remark 2. In fact, the code $C^{0,3}$ is linear and its rank equals 11.

4 The nonexistence of $(n, 4^n/4n, 4)_4$ -codes that are nonequivalent to the constructed codes

In the further investigation, the following two auxiliary statements are useful.

Proposition 11. *If C is an extended perfect distance-4 code of length n and x is a binary word dual to C , then $wt(x) = 0$, $wt(x) = n/2$, or $wt(x) = n$.*

This statement is equivalent to the fact that a perfect binary distance-3 code is dual only to weight- $(n+1)/2$ and weight-0 vectors (see, e.g., [4]).

Proposition 12. *If n is a power of two and D is a linear binary code of length n whose all nonzero words have weight $n/2$, then all the words of D have a common zero coordinate.*

Proof. We will prove the statement by induction.. In the case $n = 2$ the statement is obvious (we can also consider the trivial case $n = 1$ as the induction base).

Assume that the statement holds for $n = m/2$. Let us show that it is true for $n = m$. Without loss of generality assume that D contains the word $b = (0, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 1)$ with zeros in even coordinates and ones, in odd. Any other nonzero word b' from D contains $m/4$ ones in even coordinates, and the same number, in odd, because $wt(b \oplus b') = m/2$. Consequently, all the nonzero words of the code $D' = \{\text{Even}(d) | d \in D\}$ of length $m/2$ have the weight $m/4$. By the inductive assumption, all the words of D' contain zero in some common i th coordinate, $0 \leq i \leq m/2 - 1$; it follows that all the words of D contain zero in the $2i$ th coordinate. Proposition 12 is proved.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset Z_4^n$ be a $(n, 4^n/4n, 4)_4$ -code, and let $|\mathcal{C}| = 4^{n-r_0-r_2}2^{r_2}$. Then $r_0 > 0$ and \mathcal{C} is equivalent to \mathcal{C}^{r_0-1, r_2} .*

Proof. Since $4^n/4n = 4^{n-r_0-r_2}2^{r_2}$, we have

$$n = 2^{2r_0+r_2-2}. \quad (3)$$

Let a matrix A of size $(r_0+r_2) \times n$ be a check matrix of \mathcal{C} , and let $a^0, a^1, \dots, a^{r_0+r_2-1}$ be its rows, where $a^0 \dots, a^{r_0+r_2-1} \in \{0, 2\}^n$. Consider the repetitive words $b^i = \phi(2a^i)$, $i = 0, \dots, r_0 - 1$, which are dual do C by Proposition 6. Let D be the linear span of the set of words $\{b^i\}_{i=0}^{r_0-1}$. By Proposition 11, the linear code D of length $2n$ consists of words of weights 0, n , and $2n$. Let $\bar{1} \in E^{2n}$ be the all-one word (of weight $2n$). Let us show that $\bar{1} \in D$.

Suppose, by contradiction, that D contains only words of weights n and 0. Then, by Proposition 12, there exists j , $0 \leq j \leq 2n - 1$, such that $d_j = 0$ for every $d = (d_0, \dots, d_{2n-1}) \in D$. Since all the words of D are repetitive, we also have $d_{j+n \pmod{2n}} = 0$ for every $d \in D$; consequently, $\phi^{-1}(d)_{j'} = 0$, where $j' = j \pmod{n}$. In particular, $2a_{j'}^i = 0$ for every $i = 0, \dots, r_0 - 1$. This means that the j th column

of the matrix A consists of zeros and twos, which implies that \mathcal{C} contains a weight-2 word (with 2 in j' th coordinate and zeros in the others). We get a contradiction with the code distance 4 of \mathcal{C} .

So, $\bar{1} \in D$, and there are coefficients $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{r_0-1} \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$\alpha_0 b^0 \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_{r_0-1} b^{r_0-1} = \bar{1}. \quad (4)$$

This implies that $r_0 \geq 1$. Without loss of generality we assume $\alpha_0 = 1$ (otherwise we can permute the rows of A in such a way that the coefficient at b_0 in (4) will be nonzero). Consider the matrix A' obtained from A by replacing the first row a^0 by

$$a'^0 = \alpha_0 a^0 + \dots + \alpha_{r_0-1} a^{r_0-1} \pmod{4}.$$

Since $\alpha_0 = 1$, we can represent a^0 as a linear combination of $a'^0, a^1, \dots, a^{r_0-1}$; so, the matrices A and A' are equivalent.

It follows from (4) that

$$2a'^0 = \alpha_0 2a^0 + \dots + \alpha_{r_0-1} 2a^{r_0-1} = 2 \cdot \bar{1} \pmod{4},$$

i.e., a'^0 , the first row of A' , consists of 1s and 3s. Let A'' be obtained from A' by changing the sign in the columns that have 3 in the first position. A'' is a check matrix of a quaternary code \mathcal{C}'' , which is equivalent to \mathcal{C} (can be obtained from \mathcal{C} by changing the sign in the corresponding coordinates). Furthermore, the first row of A'' consists of 1s; and the last r_2 rows consist of 0s and 2s. If A'' has two equal columns, say j th and j' th, then \mathcal{C}'' contains the weight-2 word with 1 in the j th coordinate, 3 ($3 = -1 \pmod{4}$) in j' th, and zeros in the other coordinates. This contradicts to the code distance 4. So, all columns of A'' are distinct; as follows from (3), A'' consists of all different columns of height $r_0 + r_2$ with 1 in the first position, 0s and/or 2s in the last r_2 positions, and arbitrary numbers from $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ in the other $r_0 - 1$ positions. Ordering the columns lexicographically, we obtain A^{r_0-1, r_2} ; applying the corresponding coordinate permutation to the words of \mathcal{C}'' , we obtain \mathcal{C}^{r_0-1, r_2} . So, the code \mathcal{C}'' and, thus, the code \mathcal{C} are equivalent to \mathcal{C}^{r_0-1, r_2} . Theorem 3 is proved.

Theorem 4. *Let $n = 2^k \geq 16$; then there exist exactly $\lfloor (\log_2 n + 1)/2 \rfloor$ pairwise nonequivalent Z_4 -linear extended perfect distance-4 codes of length n .*

Proof. There are $\lfloor (\log_2 n + 1)/2 \rfloor$ ways to represent n as $n = 2^{2r_1+r_2+1}$ with integers $r_1 \geq 0$ and $r_2 \geq 0$. By Theorem 2, $\mathbf{C} = \{C^{r_1, \log_2 n - 2r_1 - 1}\}_{r_1=0}^{\lfloor (\log_2 n - 1)/2 \rfloor}$ is a set of pairwise nonequivalent codes. By Theorem 3, any Z_4 -linear $(n, 2^n/2n, 4)$ -code is equivalent to one of the codes from \mathbf{C} . Theorem 4 is proved.

5 An inductive construction of the codes \mathcal{C}^{r_1, r_2}

Let $n' = 4^{r'_1} 2^{r'_2}$ and $n'' = 4^{r''_1} 2^{r''_2}$ be powers of 2 and

$$c = (c_{0,0}, c_{0,1}, \dots, c_{0,n''-1}, c_{1,0}, c_{1,1}, \dots, c_{n'-1, n''-1}) \in Z_4^{n' n''}.$$

Denote

$$p'(c) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n''} c_{0,j}, \sum_{j=0}^{n''} c_{1,j}, \dots, \sum_{j=0}^{n''} c_{n'-1,j} \right) \pmod{4},$$

$$p''(c) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n'} c_{i,0}, \sum_{i=0}^{n'} c_{i,1}, \dots, \sum_{i=0}^{n'} c_{i, n''-1} \right) \pmod{4}.$$

(If we represent c as a matrix of size $n' \times n''$, then p' is a sum of columns and p'' is a sum of rows of this matrix.)

Let \mathcal{C}' be a quaternary code with a check matrix A' that is permutably equivalent to $\mathcal{C}^{r'_1, r'_2}$, and let \mathcal{C}'' be a quaternary code with a check matrix A'' , that is permutably equivalent to $\mathcal{C}^{r''_1, r''_2}$. Let $n = n' n''$, $r_1 = r'_1 + r''_1$ and $r_2 = r'_2 + r''_2$.

Theorem 5. *The set*

$$\mathcal{C} = \{c \in Z_4^{n' n''} \mid p'(c) \in \mathcal{C}', p''(c) \in \mathcal{C}''\} \quad (5)$$

is a quaternary $(n, 4^n/4n, 4)_4$ -code which is permutably equivalent to \mathcal{C}^{r_1, r_2} .

The linearity of \mathcal{C} over Z_4 is obvious; the code distance and the cardinality are calculated in [7] for a more general construction; the type of the check matrix of \mathcal{C} can be easily established if we write out the check relations $A'p'(c)^T = 0$ and $A''p''(c)^T = 0$.

Using the construction (5) and taking $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{1,0}$ as a base, we can inductively construct the class of all codes $\{\mathcal{C}^{r_1, r_2}\}$.

References[†]

- [1[†]] J. Borges, K. T. Phelps, and J. Rifà. The rank and kernel of extended 1-perfect Z_4 -linear and additive non- Z_4 -linear codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 49(8):2028–2034, 2003. DOI: 10.1109/TIT.2003.814490.

[†] The references marked by [†] have been included during the translation, see Section 0.1.

- [2[†]] J. Borges and J. Rifà. A characterization of 1-perfect additive codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 45(8):1688–1697, 1999. DOI: 10.1109/18.771247.
- [3] G. D. Cohen, I. Honkala, S. Litsyn, and A. Lobstein. *Covering Codes*. North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1997.
- [4] T. Etzion and A. Vardy. Perfect binary codes: Constructions, properties and enumeration. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 40(3):754–763, 1994. DOI: 10.1109/18.335887.
- [5] A. R. Jr Hammons, P. V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, and P. Solé. The Z_4 -linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 40(2):301–319, 1994. DOI: 10.1109/18.312154.
- [6] D. S. Krotov. Lower estimates for the number of m -quasigroup of order 4 and for the number of perfect binary codes. *Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper., Ser. 1*, 7(2):47–53, 2000. In Russian.
- [7] D. S. Krotov. On a perfect code containing as subcodes a given set of perfect codes. *Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper., Ser. 1*, 7(1):40–48, 2000. In Russian.
- [8[†]] D. S. Krotov. Z_4 -linear Hadamard and extended perfect codes. In *Proc. the Int. Workshop on Coding and Cryptography WCC'2001*, pages 329–334, Paris, France, January 2001. *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.*, 6: 107–112, 2001. DOI: 10.1016/S1571-0653(04)00161-1. arXiv:0710.0199.
- [9[†]] D. S. Krotov. Z_{2^k} -Dual binary codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 53(4):1532–1537, 2007. DOI: 10.1109/TIT.2007.892787, arXiv:math/0509325.
- [10] V. L. Kurakin, A. S. Kuzmin, A. V. Mikhalev, and A. A. Nechaev. Linear recurring sequences over rings and modules. *J. of Math. Sciences*, 76(6):2793–2915, 1995. Translated from *Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Mat. Prilozh., Temat. Obz.*, 10(Algebra-2), 1994.
- [11] A. S. Kuzmin and A. A. Nechaev. Construction of noise-resistant codes by means of linear recurrences over Galois rings. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 47(5):189–190, 1992. Translated from *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, 47(5):183–184, 1992.
- [12] A. S. Kuzmin and A. A. Nechaev. Linearly representable codes and the Kerdock code over an arbitrary Galois field of characteristic 2. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 49(5):183–184, 1994. Translated from *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, 49(5):165–166, 1992.

- [13[†]] M. Mollard. A generalized parity function and its use in the construction of perfect codes. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods*, 7(1):113–115, 1986.
- [14] A. A. Nechaev. Kerdock code in a cyclic form. *Discrete Math. Appl.*, 1(4):365–384, 1991. Translated from *Diskretnaya Matematika*, 1(4):123–139, 1989.
- [15[†]] K. T. Phelps and J. Rifà. On binary 1-perfect additive codes: Some structural properties. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 48(9):2587–2592, 2002. DOI: 10.1109/TIT.2002.801474.
- [16[†]] K. T. Phelps, J. Rifà, and M. Villanueva. On the additive (Z_4 -linear and non- Z_4 -linear) Hadamard codes: Rank and kernel. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 52(1):316–319, 2006. DOI: 10.1109/TIT.2005.860452.
- [17[†]] V. N. Potapov. A lower bound for the number of transitive perfect codes. *J. Appl. Ind. Math.*, 1(3):373–379, 2007. DOI: 10.1134/S199047890703012X translated from *Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper.*, Ser. 1, 13(4):49–59, 2006.
- [18[†]] J. Pujol, J. Rifà, and F. I. Solov’eva. Quaternary Plotkin constructions and quaternary Reed-Muller codes. In *Proceeding of the 17th Symposium on Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms, and Error Correcting Codes AAECC-17*, Bangalore, India, December 2007. To appear in *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.*
- [19] F. I. Solov’eva. Constructions of perfect binary codes. Preprint 98-042, Univ. Bielefeld, 1998.
- [20[†]] F. I. Solov’eva. On the construction of transitive codes. *Probl. Inf. Transm.*, 41(3):204–211, 2005. DOI: 10.1007/s11122-005-0025-3 translated from *Probl. Peredachi Inf.*, 41(3):23–31, 2005.
- [21[†]] F. I. Solov’eva. On Z_4 -linear codes with the parameters of reed-muller codes. *Probl. Inf. Transm.*, 43(1):26–32, 2007. DOI: 10.1134/S0032946007010048 translated from *Probl. Peredachi Inf.*, 43(1):32–38, 2007.
- [22] A. Tietäväinen. On the nonexistence of perfect codes over finite fields. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 24:88–96, 1973.
- [23] V. Zinoviev and V. Leontiev. The nonexistence of perfect codes over Galois fields. *Probl. Contr. Inform. Theory*, 2(2):16–24, 1973. Translated from *Problemy Upravleniya i Teorii Informatsii*, 2: 123–132, 1973.